

Floyd & Nancy Wright

PO Box 2 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] AZ

March 15, 2005

A-1

10me

Board of Prison Terms
1550 K Street, Suite 600
Sacramento, CA 95814

**Support Letter
Not Confidential**

RE: GRANT PAROLE TO PF LAZOR, C-73842

L. Romero, C&PR

This letter is to update our previous letters to support the granting of parole to Mr. PF Lazor.

We have know PF since before his imprisonment. At that time our children were very young and PF was kind and gentle with them. He is a very responsible person and his non-violent nature and conduct is very much out of place in prison. PF does not fit the usual personality profile of a typical prison inmate. The prisons system incorrectly produces an unreliable "record" as to his true behavior, character and nature.

Mr. Lazor shot an armed intruder in self-defense with a legal gun when the man broke down his private door in a violent rage. The presently available evidence proves this. After wounding the man, PF immediately called the police and medical personnel to save the attacker's life. He has been imprisoned for this for 22 years, after being acquitted of first-degree murder.

Since Mr. Lazor's last parole hearing, Coroner Dr. Angelo K. Ozoa, who had written the autopsy report and testified against PF, was ruled incompetent by the Medical Board of California after it was discovered that he had a pattern of malpractice and misconduct in autopsy reporting. He lost his job as coroner and his medical license as well. He was shown in a published court decision to have falsified an autopsy report and then committed perjury to cover it up. (Galbraith vs. City and County of Santa Clara). Mr. Lazor has always maintained that Dr. Ozoa's autopsy in his own case was also erroneous. While the court has found Dr. Ozoa to be a liar, PF has a lifelong reputation for honesty. Because the body was cremated the evidence that could have proven PF's claim was destroyed.

Although PF maintains that his act was one of self-defense, he was grief-stricken over the incident. We know that he did not take lightly that he had killed another human being.

We would ask that the board consider the following:

1. Mr. Lazor has had no prior criminal background.
2. He has committed no violent act while in prison and other than this incident none outside prison either.
3. PF is very talented and could be a benefit to society if given the chance.
4. He is an outspoken advocate against drugs and alcohol.
5. The law governing parole release mandated his release in 1992 unless he was a danger to society. We don't understand why this did not happen.
6. Mr. Lazor's "bad conduct reports" in prison have been a scheme against him for his "whistle-blowing". Even if true none of these reports indicate he is a danger to society.
7. Mr. Lazor was free on bail after the self-defense killing, before and during the trial for eight months with no restrictions. This shows that he was a responsible persons and no danger to society.

We consider it a crime that the State of California, via the Board of Prison Terms, has kept this man in prison this long. I see men and women who have committed horrific crimes serving much less time. These men and women who are a danger to society unlike Mr. Lazor have been granted parole.. We are completely baffled as to why Mr. Lazor was not granted a parole many years ago.

We would beg the Board of Prison Terms to grant Mr. Lazor a parole.

Sincerely,

Nancy Wright
Floyd & Nancy Wright

Floyd Wright