

**PP**

ADDED COMMENTARY

THIS EXHIBIT PRESENTS A PRIME EXAMPLE OF HOW A REVIEWER OF THIS CASE MUST SEE THE OVERALL, LONG DRAWN-OUT SCHEME, AND ALSO KNOW WHAT WASN'T PRESENTED IN THE TRIAL RECORD, IN ORDER TO DETECT THE FRAUD AND MANUFACTURING OF EVIDENCE THAT OCCURRED --AND RIGHTLY WEIGH IT'S EFFECT ON A WRONGFUL VERDICT

EXHIBIT  
**PP**  
18 PAGES

tabbles

Judge, prosecutor, Schroeder, in chambers:

18

1 STIPULATED TO IN FRONT OF THE TRIAL JURY?

2 MR. HAMES: YES.

3 **ADDED COMMENTARY**

4 **SCHROEDER BLINDLY STIPULATES THAT WHAT-**  
5 **EVER THE PROSECUTOR MERELY CLAIMS TO BE**  
6 **LAZOR'S WRITINGS, HE AGREES TO -- WITH-**  
7 **OUT EVER CONSULTING LAZOR HIMSELF OR**  
8 **LETTING HIM HAVE ANY SAY AS TO WHAT WAS**  
9 **OR WAS NOT WHAT HE WROTE**

ALCOHOL

THIS

EACH

DRUGS.

WOD

IN

TO

IVE

11  
12 MR. HAMES: THE SECOND STIPULATION, YOUR HONOR,  
13 WOULD DEAL WITH THE DEFENDANT'S HANDWRITING: THAT THE PEOPLE  
14 NEED NOT PRODUCE A HANDWRITING EXPERT AND AS IT RELATES TO THE  
15 DEFENDANT'S HANDWRITING AND SUCH THINGS AS HIS DIARY, HIS  
16 NOTES AND OTHER WRITTEN MATERIAL WHICH COUNSEL AND I HAVE  
17 REVIEWED, THAT THE PEOPLE NEED NOT ESTABLISH ANY FURTHER  
18 FOUNDATION THAT THAT IS IN FACT THE DEFENDANT'S HANDWRITING.  
19 IN OTHER WORDS, THERE WILL BE A STIPULATION THAT IT IS IN FACT  
20 HIS HANDWRITING.

21 MR. SCHROEDER: I WOULD STIPULATE TO THAT, YOUR  
22 HONOR, JUST WITH THE CAUTIONARY NOTE THAT DOES NOT MEAN THAT I  
23 STIPULATE OR AGREE THAT ANY PARTICULAR PIECE OF HANDWRITING  
24 SHOULD COME INTO EVIDENCE, BUT MERELY IT IS HIS HANDWRITING.

25 MR. HAMES: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

26 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

27  
28 BE KIND OF AN A AND A B PART: THAT THE CALL MADE BY THE

PP-2

(These were secret proceedings that Lazor never knew took place. He couldn't object because he wasn't present)

Schroeder to judge in chambers with prosecutor present:

1 HE  
2 WH  
3  
4  
5 TH  
6  
7  
8  
9 RE

ADDED COMMENTARY

THIS NOTE WAS NOT IN A "DIARY." IT WAS A REFERENCE FOR LAZOR'S PROJECT IN TV FILM AND PRODUCTION WORK -- FIGHTING AGAINST CRIME IN THE COMMUNITY. SCHROEDER ALSO MISQUOTED IT, MAKING THE JUDGE THINK IT WAS A SECRETIVE "DIARY" CONFESSION OF LAZOR ALMOST SHOOTING SOMEONE AS A CRIMINAL ACT. THE PREJUDICE SET THE STAGE FOR THE JUDGE'S ATTITUDE CONCERNING THE MEANINGS OF MR. LAZOR'S MANY OTHER WRITING

79

15

DOWN

2.

WITH

11 MR. SCHROEDER: YES. I AM SORRY. THAT'S THE ONE  
12 WHERE ON THE 12TH OF NOVEMBER, HE'S WRITTEN -- I AM SORRY --  
13 THE 13TH OF NOVEMBER JUST TO QUICKLY SUMMARIZE, "ALMOST SHOT  
14 GUY AT GAS STATION". I CAN GIVE YOU -- IF YOU WANT ME TO READ  
15 ALL THE EXACT LANGUAGE?

16 THE COURT: THIS IS IN A DIARY HE KEPT?

17 MR. SCHROEDER: YES. JUST SO IT'S CLEAR FOR THE  
18 RECORD, HE KEEPS A DIARY. THE POLICE SEIZED HIS DIARY FOR  
19 1983. HE ALSO DOES WHAT HE DESCRIBES AS A PERSONAL ASSESS-  
20 MENT.

21 SIZED PAPER, HE JUST WRITES IN ON EACH DATE THINGS THAT  
22 HE FELT WERE IMPORTANT AND JUST TO KIND OF REVIEW THE YEAR IN  
23 HIS OWN MIND, AND THEY SEIZED THOSE PURSUANT TO A SEARCH  
24 WARRANT IN FREMONT AND THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY SEEKS TO  
25 INTRODUCE CERTAIN ENTRIES IN THAT ASSESSMENT.

26 AND ONE OF THOSE ENTRIES IS BOTH ON NOVEMBER 12TH AND  
27 THEN THERE IS ANOTHER ONE ON NOVEMBER 13TH. THE FIRST ONE ON  
28 NOVEMBER 12TH SAYS, "WORKING WITH BRAD OAKES ON LEGAL MATTERS.

(These were secret proceedings that  
Lazor never knew took place)

Judge, prosecutor, Schroeder, in chambers:

ADDED COMMENTARY

THE PROSECUTOR PRETENDS THIS IS A LEGITIMATE LEGAL PROCEDURE, OF "SANITIZING EVIDENCE", WHERE PORTIONS OF WRITINGS ARE DISALLOWED AND, HENCE, MUST BE DELETED FROM JURY Perview. BUT UNDER THIS GUISE, THE PROSECUTOR WAS PLOTTING THE FALSIFICATION OF LAZOR'S WRITINGS, AS WILL BE SEEN IN THE FOLLOWING PAGES (AND WAS ALSO COMMITTED 4 MONTHS EARLIER IN PRELIMINARY HEARING WITH SCHROEDER'S FULL KNOWLEDGE)

1  
2 OFF  
3 CRC  
4 BRI  
5 WHC  
6 THI

108  
CE  
HE  
LY  
HE  
I

THE COURT: SOLVES IT FOR ME FOR NOW.

MR. HAMES: AND THAT'S GOOD ENOUGH.

THERE IS ONE QUESTION I WOULD LIKE TO ASK OF THE COURT. AS THE COURT CAN SEE, I THINK IT'S IN PEOPLE'S MOTION EXHIBIT 2, THE SEVERAL PAGES OF THE ASSESSMENT WHICH THE COURT HAS BEFORE IT, OBVIOUSLY I DON'T KNOW IF I AM JUST TIRED OR JUST NOT WILLING TO THINK ABOUT IT AT THIS TIME. SOME DELETIONS ARE GOING TO HAVE TO BE MADE.

THERE IS ALSO THIS PROBLEM OF -- MY MIND JUST WENT ON ME.

IT'S ALSO A PROBLEM, YOUR HONOR, AS TO MATTERS THAT ARE BASICALLY RESERVED FOR EITHER REBUTTAL OR FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION, DEPENDING UPON WHAT THE DEFENDANT SAYS. IT WOULD SEEM TO ME THAT THE WAY THAT IT SHOULD BE HANDLED IS THAT WHEN THE PEOPLE BRING IN THE ORIGINAL NOTES, THAT THE PEOPLE STAY TO THE COURT'S RULINGS OBVIOUSLY AND BRING OUT THE MATTERS THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN RULED ON FAVORABLY IN THEIR CASE IN CHIEF BUT AT NO TIME UNTIL THE VERY END OF THE CASE, UNTIL WE CAN DECIDE HOW THE DELETIONS, IF ANY, ARE GOING TO BE MADE

CONTINUED

Judge, prosecutor, Schroeder, in chambers:

1 WOULD THOSE BE SHOWN TO THE JURY.

2 THE COURT: IS THAT AGREEABLE?

3 MR. SCHROEDER: I WOULD AGREE. YES, YOUR HONOR, I  
4 WOULD JOIN IN THAT.

5 THE COURT: I THINK THAT IS A GOOD SOLUTION TO THE  
6 PROBLEM.

7 MR. HAMES: AND ALSO, I WOULD ASK THAT PERHAPS THAT  
8 SAME PROCEDURE BE UTILIZED WITH A DIARY THAT'S GOING TO HAVE  
9 SOME INFORMATION IN IT AS WELL.

10 MR. SCHROEDER: I AGREE WITH THAT.

11 THE COURT: I THINK IF YOU PRODUCE THE ORIGINAL AND  
12 THEN WE MAKE PHOTOSTATIC COPIES OF THE ORIGINAL BY STIPULATION  
13 AND THEN MAKE THE DELETIONS IN THE PHOTOSTATIC COPY, THEN  
14 MAYBE THAT IS THE WAY THEN TO GET THE INFORMATION TO THE JURY.

15 MR. HAMES: WELL, I THOUGHT FOR ALL PURPOSES, THAT  
16 WE SHOULD BASICALLY CONFER AND MAKE SURE THAT BEFORE ANYTHING  
17 GETS TO THE HANDS OF THE JURY, THAT ALL PARTIES AGREE THAT  
18 THE COURT'S INTENT IS BEING CARRIED OUT.

19 THE COURT: FINE.

20 MR. SCHROEDER: NO PROBLEM.

21 MR. HAMES: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

22 **ADDED COMMENTARY**

**SCHROEDER BLINDLY "AGREES" TO ANYTHING  
THE PROSECUTOR SCHEMED AND REQUESTED**

23 **ADDED COMMENTARY**

**STAGE SET FOR DUPING OF JURY**

24 **ADDED COMMENTARY**

**PROSECUTOR KNOWS APPEALS COURTS CANNOT CON-  
DEMN ANYTHING SCHROEDER AGREES TO, BY LAW**

Judge, prosecutor, Schroeder; unknown to jury:

785

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

THE COURT: MARK IT FOR IDENTIFICATION.

THE CLERK: 33-C MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.

(WHEREUPON, THE ABOVE-MENTIONED ITEM, DAILY AIDE,  
WAS RECEIVED AND MARKED AS PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT NO. 33-C FOR

THE COURT: AND THE RECORD WILL SHOW THAT THE JURY  
HAS BEEN EXCUSED, THAT COUNSEL ARE HERE, THE DEFENDANT IS  
PRESENT, AND AS TO THE ITEMS WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN 33-C,  
COUNSEL HAVE NO DISPUTE; IS THAT CORRECT?

MR. SCHROEDER: NO.

MR. HAMES: AS TO THE ITEMS THAT MR. SCHROEDER AND I  
HAVE JUST REVIEWED, WE HAVE AGREED ON THOSE ITEMS.

WE FURTHER AGREE THAT ALL OTHER ITEMS WILL NOT BE SHOWN  
TO THE JURY UNTIL AGREED UPON, IF THEY ARE TO BE SHOWN AT ALL,  
AND WE WILL DO THE APPROPRIATE SANITATION AT A LATER DATE.

MR. SCHROEDER: SO STIPULATED.

ADJOURN, I GUESS, UNTIL TUESDAY.

COL  
BE  
ABC  
DAY  
COU

ADDED COMMENTARY

*BY SLEIGHT-OF-HAND DECEPTION, THE PROSE-  
CUTOR GETS SCHROEDER TO BLINDLY AGREE TO  
NON-FALSIFIED WRITINGS -- BUT WITH A  
"FUTURES" STIPULATION, THAT SCHROEDER HAS  
BLINDLY BOUND HIMSELF TO WHATEVER CHANGES  
ARE LATER MADE TO THE WRITINGS BY THE  
PROSECUTOR*

THE  
ILL  
/ER  
REE  
OF  
RES

ON MR. LAZOR.

THE COURT: YES.

Police Detective McCarty (prosecutor's assigned investigator)  
examined by prosecutor:

789

1 DUPLICATION OF AN ORIGINAL?

2 A

3  
4 EVIDE

ADDED COMMENTARY

INTO

*THIS BUSINESS SCHEDULE BOOK, SEIZED  
WITHOUT WARRANT AUTHORITY AND FALSELY  
TOUTED TO THE JURY AS A SECRETIVE  
"DIARY," IS HERE CORRECTLY IDENTIFIED  
AS BELONGING TO MR. LAZOR*

5  
6  
7  
8 MARKE

OUSLY

OPLE'S

9  
10 Q (BY MR. HAMES) I WOULD LIKE TO RETURN TO AN EXHIBIT THAT  
11 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION LATE THURSDAY AFTERNOON. THIS  
12 IS A DAILY AIDE, IF YOU WILL, 1983, WHICH IS MARKED PEOPLE'S  
13 33-C. I WOULD LIKE TO SHOW YOU THIS EXHIBIT AND ASK YOU CAN  
14 YOU IDENTIFY THAT AS HAVING COME FROM THE DEFENDANT'S  
15 BRIEFCASE WHICH IS MARKED PEOPLE'S 33?

16 A YES, IT'S THE SAME.

17  
18 A IT'S THE SAME DAILY AIDE THAT I FOUND INSIDE THE  
19 BRIEFCASE WHEN I CONDUCTED THIS SEARCH WARRANT.

20  
21 INDICATE TO WHOM THE BOOK BELONGS; THE DAILY AIDE, THAT IS?

22 A YES.

23 Q AND THE PERSON'S NAME? A YES.

24 Q AND WHAT IS THE PERSON'S NAME?

25 A IT'S SAYS, "THIS BOOK IS PROPERTY OF P. F. LAZOR".

26 MR. HAMES: BEFORE PROCEEDING INTO THE VARIOUS  
27 ENTRIES THAT ARE LOCATED WITHIN THE DAILY AIDE, YOUR HONOR,  
28 COUNSEL AND I HAVE A STIPULATION TO ENTER INTO THAT THE

PP-7

Police Detective McCarty (prosecutor's assigned investigator)  
examined by prosecutor:

793

1 Q I WOULD LIKE TO GO TO JANUARY 9TH, A SUNDAY, AND IN  
2 [REDACTED] OF THE  
3 PAGE, WHICH ACTUALLY DOES NOT LIST A TIME PERIOD. IT'S THE  
4 BOTTOM AFTER 7:30 IN THE EVENING. IS THERE AN ENTRY AT THE  
5 BOTTOM OF THAT PAGE TO WHICH I AM POINTING? IS NO TIME PERIOD

6 [REDACTED]  
7 Q AND WHAT DOES THAT PORTION READ?

8 A "GARNIER CONTRACT".

9 [REDACTED]  
10 **ADDED COMMENTARY**

11 AND I

12 A

13 Q

14 WOULD

**THE PROSECUTOR SEIZED & HID EVIDENCE PROVING THIS WAS LAZOR'S SHORTHAND NOTE FOR GARNIER TO SIGN THE HOUSE SALE CONTRACT. HE MADE THE JURY THINK IT WAS A "HIT CONTRACT" TO MURDER GARNIER, TRANSFERRED TO ALLRED. LAZOR WAS NOT ALLOWED TO COMMENT ABOUT IT**

15 Q AND REFERRING TO 2:30 IN THE AFTERNOON ON JANUARY 10TH,  
16 THAT MONDAY, WOULD YOU INDICATE IF THERE IS AN ENTRY IN THAT  
17 PORTION?

A YES.

18 Q AND WHAT DOES THAT PORTION READ TO WHICH I AM POINTING?

19 A "GET DICTATOR".

20 [REDACTED]  
21 **ADDED COMMENTARY**

22 AF

23 CF

24 Q

25 CL

26 OF

27 Q

28 IN

HO

**ESSENCE OF THIS FALSIFIED WRITING SCHEME:  
THE PROSECUTOR TURNED HIS BACK TO THE JURY, BLOCKING THEIR VIEW, WHERE ONLY LAZOR AND SCHROEDER COULD SEE HIM COVER UP THE REST OF THAT PHRASE WHICH READ:  
"GET DICTATOR G E SERVICENTER -- WHILE CONNIVING TO HAVE DETECTIVE MCCARTY READ ONLY 2 OF THE 4 WORDS - UNKNOWN TO THE JURY**

ROM

HAT

2TH

RKS

THE



Police Detective McCarty (prosecutor's assigned investigator)

examined by prosecutor:

796

1 188?

A NO.

2 Q DID YOU LOCATE WITHIN THE HOUSE, WHEN YOU RETURNED  
3 PURS:

4 A

ADDED COMMENTARY

DESPITE LAZOR'S OBJECTIONS AND PLEAS TO  
SCHROEDER, SCHROEDER REFUSED TO OBJECT  
OR POINT OUT WHAT'S GOING ON (WHICH HE  
KNEW ALL ABOUT FROM THE SAME SCHEME DONE  
AT PRELIMINARY HEARING); INSTEAD LETTING  
THE JUDGE RULE THAT THE UNREAD WORDS  
COULD NOT BE ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE

RY,

8 THE F

9 A

10

11 THE COURT: YES

12 MR. HAMES: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME, THE PEOPLE

13

14 MR. SCHROEDER: YOUR HONOR, MAY WE APPROACH THE  
15 BENCH BRIEFLY?

16 THE COURT: I THINK I CAN ANTICIPATE WHAT YOU --  
17 THOSE PORTIONS WHICH HAVE BEEN READ TO THE JURY ARE ADMITTED  
18 IN EVIDENCE. THE BALANCE IS NOT ADMITTED.

19 MR. HAMES: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

20 MR. SCHROEDER: THANK YOU.

21 (WHEREUPON, THE ABOVE-MENTIONED ITEM, PREVIOUSLY  
22 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION, WAS RECEIVED AND MARKED AS PEOPLE'S  
23 EXHIBIT NO. 33-C IN EVIDENCE.)

24

25 WHICH IS THE LETTER PORTION OF THE EXHIBIT, THE LETTER  
26 ADDRESSED TO P. F. AT 5479 FIESTA ROAD IN FREMONT, WHICH  
27 OFFICER CAMPOS INDICATED WAS LOCATED AT THAT PARTICULAR  
28 ADDRESS HAVING A POSTMARK OF JANUARY 3RD, 1983. DID YOU

Lazor testifying under examination of Schroeder:

1340

1 A NO. I DID JUST ONE OTHER THING THAT I CAN RECALL OFF THE  
2 TOP OF MY HEAD.

3 Q WHAT WAS THAT?

4 A I STOPPED AT RADIO SHACK ON THE WAY BACK FROM THE  
5 GYNECOLOGY CLINIC ON MY WAY TO ROBERTS ROAD TO PICK UP AN  
6 ELECTRONIC UNIT.

7 Q NOW, WHEN YOU GOT TO THE HOUSE AND YOU WENT IN THE ROOM,  
8 WAS THERE ANYTHING UNUSUAL IN YOUR ROOM?

9  
10 Q AND WHAT WAS THAT?

11 A  
12 DOOR  
13 CABI  
14 IN  
15 COUN  
16 THE  
17 DRAW  
18 THE  
19 NORM  
20 OF T

ADDED COMMENTARY

MR. LAZOR TESTIFYING: HE TRIED TO GET AN OPENING TO TELL THE JURY OF HIS DICTATOR MALFUNCTIONING HOURS BEFORE THE SHOOTING, WHERE A RADIO SHACK STORE CLERK VERIFIED HIM WRITE TO GET A NEW DICTATOR, "GET DICTATOR G E SERVICENTER". AND THEY DISCUSSED WHAT IT MEANT. BUT SCHROEDER CUT LAZOR OFF, JUMPED TO ANOTHER SUBJECT, AND BARRED THE STORE CLERK FROM BEING A WITNESS OR EVEN KNOWN ABOUT BY THE JURY OR COURT

NET  
HAT  
KED  
THE  
ED  
ER  
ND  
IAS  
TOP

21 Q WAS THE ROOM IN A TOTAL DISARRAY OR WHAT WAS THE --

22 A NO. VERY LITTLE ELSE SEEMED TO BE MOVED OR TOUCHED, JUST  
23 A FEW THINGS. MOSTLY THOSE DRAWERS BEING OPEN AND THE BAG AND  
24 THE BOX ON TOP OF THE COUNTER, JUST IMMEDIATELY THAT CAUGHT MY  
25 ATTENTION.

26 Q DID YOU HAVE OCCASION AT THAT TIME TO GO OUT TO THE  
27 GARAGE AREA? A NOT RIGHT THEN, NO.

28 Q DID YOU DO ANYTHING WITH THE BACK DOOR, THIS AREA THAT'S

Prosecutor arguing to jury against Lazor:

1696

1 TH  
2 HE  
3  
4 THI

**ADDED COMMENTARY**  
(#1): "DICTATOR GARNIER" WAS LAZOR'S SHORTHAND, USED WITH MANY BUSINESS CLIENTS, TO DESIGNATE AN APPOINTMENT TO GET THEIR STATEMENTS DICTATED ON HIS DICTATING MACHINE -- BUT LAZOR WAS NEVER ALLOWED TO EXPLAIN

THE

TATES

UCHED, THE LUNG WAS.

6  
7

**ADDED COMMENTARY**  
SEE NEXT PAGE FOR COMMENTARY

8  
9

10  
11

YOU ARE GOING TO BE  
SEEING THE DEFENDANT'S DAILY-AID, HIS DIARY, IF YOU WILL, HIS  
APPOINTMENT BOOK, WHATEVER YOU WANT TO CALL IT, AND YOU ARE  
GOING TO SEE WITHIN THAT DAILY-AID SEVERAL ENTRIES DEALING  
WITH MR. GARNIER. SEVERAL OF THOSE ENTRIES DESCRIBE  
MR. GARNIER AS A "DICTATOR", "DICTATOR GARNIER", "DICTATOR  
GARNIER". I THINK IT'S NAMED AT LEAST FIVE TIMES.  
MR. SCHROEDER SAYS WELL, WHAT THE DEFENDANT REALLY MEANT --  
ALTHOUGH THE DEFENDANT NEVER SAID ANYTHING ABOUT IT -- WAS HE  
WAS TALKING ABOUT SOME SORT OF DICTATING MACHINE. HOW HE PUTS  
THE TWO OF THOSE TOGETHER IS BEYOND ME. WE HEARD NO EVIDENCE  
OF THAT. THE DEFENDANT NEVER STATED IT. AGAIN, COUNSEL FOR  
THE DEFENDANT IS SPECULATING, BUT THROUGHOUT THIS PARTICULAR  
DAILY-AID, YOU SEE THE WORDS "DICTATOR GARNIER". LOOK AT THAT  
BECAUSE WHAT'S NOTABLY INTERESTING ABOUT THAT "DICTATOR  
GARNIER" IS THAT ON JANUARY 10TH, MONDAY, JANUARY 10TH, THERE  
IS AN ENTRY THAT SAYS, "GET DICTATOR". "GET DICTATOR" JANUARY  
10TH, AND WHAT HAPPENED ON JANUARY 10TH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN,

#1  
#2  
#3  
#4

28

ESSENCE OF CASE

CONTINUED

Prosecutor arguing to jury against Lazor:

1697

1 IS MR. GARNIER'S NEPHEW, JOHN ALLRED, WAS THE ONE WHO GOT IT.

2 THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

3 THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IN ORDER TO FULL

5 READ

ADDED COMMENTARY

(#2): BUT SCHROEDER NEVER SAID THIS. NO  
EXPLANATION BESIDES THE PROSECUTOR'S  
DECEPTIVE FALSEHOODS WERE GIVEN

MEN,  
THE  
RING  
FINE

6 WILL

8 EVID

9 THE

10 THE

11 THIF

12 GUID

13

14 COUR

15 JURY

ADDED COMMENTARY

(#3): TRUE, AS THE DEFENDANT WAS NEVER  
ALLOWED TO EXPLAIN IT, BY WAY OF PRIVATE  
AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE PROSECUTOR AND MR.  
SCHROEDER, TO NOT LET ANYTHING BE DEFENDED  
OR EXPOSED TO THE JURY

THE  
IN  
EN  
HE

16 DEPE

19 TO C

20 FROM

21 MEAN

22 THE

ADDED COMMENTARY

(#4): KNOWING LAZOR'S NOTES REFERRED TO HIS  
"GENERAL ELECTRIC DICTATOR" AND ITS  
CASSETTE RECORDING TAPE PARAPHERNALIA  
3 TIMES IN THE DAILY AIDE, THE PROSECUTOR  
"DELETED" THOSE TO CONCOCT AND PRESENT  
THIS MURDER STORY

Y  
S  
D  
"

24 TO Y

25 ARRI

26

27 RULE

28 FOLL

ADDED COMMENTARY

AND THOSE WORDS CLOSED THE CASE TO THE  
JURY RIGHT BEFORE THEY DELIBERATED. A  
TOTALLY MANUFACTURED MURDER PLOT BUILT  
OF ILLEGALLY SEIZED INNOCUOUS WRITINGS,  
BY FALSIFYING THEM, AND SET WITHIN THE  
CONTEXT OF THE OTHER 35 ITEMS OF FRAUD-  
ULENTLY CORRUPTED EVIDENCE

STATE  
Y, TO  
U THE  
T AND

Judge, prosecutor, Schroeder; unknown to jury:

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

ADDED COMMENTARY  
TO STOP LAZOR FROM FINALLY CREATING A SCENE AND EXPOSING THE FRAUD IN FRONT OF THE JURY, SCHROEDER AGREED TO EXPOSE IT TO THE JUDGE IN CHAMBERS:

1720

THE  
  
  
  
  
GIVE  
  
  
AFTI

ADDED COMMENTARY  
BUT SCHROEDER BACKS OUT AND FURTHER BETRAYS LAZOR BY REVERSING HIS POSITION HERE...

N.  
TIONS  
GIN.  
AKEN,  
JURY

(WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD IN CHAMBERS OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)

PROCEEDINGS IN CHAMBERS COUNSEL BE PRESENT MR. LAZOR IS

COUNSEL HAVE CONCLUDED ARGUMENTS, THE JURY HAS BEEN INSTRUCTED. COUNSEL ARE NOW SANITIZING SOME OF THE DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE WHICH IS TO GO TO THE JURY, AND I GUESS COUNSEL BETTER STATE THEIR RESPECTIVE POSITIONS.

MR. SCHROEDER: YES. IT'S MY POSITION, YOUR HONOR, THAT WITH RESPECT TO THE DAILY-AID, THERE WAS AN ENTRY IN PARTICULAR THAT SAYS, "GET DICTATOR" ON JANUARY 10TH.

THE COURT: AND DETECTIVE MC CARTY READ THAT TO THE JURY, RIGHT?

MR. SCHROEDER: THAT'S CORRECT.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. SCHROEDER: I DID NOT SPECIFICALLY RECALL ONE WAY OR THE OTHER WHETHER OR NOT THERE WAS A QUESTION REGARDING THE COMPLETION OF THAT, WHAT I CONSIDER TO BE A THOUGHT, WHICH

CONTINUED

Judge, prosecutor, Schroeder; unknown to jury:

1721

1 IS, "G. E. SERVICE CENTER". I DON'T HONESTLY REMEMBER ONE WAY  
2 OR THE OTHER WHETHER THAT WAS GONE INTO.

3 MY POSITION WOULD BE THAT IT'S EXTREMELY MISLEADING AND  
4 ALLOWS MR. HAMES TO DRAW AN IMPLICATION THAT IS NOT ACCURATE  
5 WITH RESPECT TO WHAT THAT MEANS. BASED UPON THAT, I AM  
6 REQUESTING THAT WHEN SANITIZING IT, THAT THAT ENTIRE PORTION  
7 GO IN.

8 THE COURT: YOU ARE ASKING ME TO ADMIT SOME EVIDENCE  
9 TO THE JURY THAT WAS NOT PREVIOUSLY ADMITTED TO THE JURY?

10 MR. SCHROEDER: I AM NOT SAYING IT WASN'T ADMITTED.  
11 I AM SAYING I DON'T SPECIFICALLY REMEMBER.

12 THE COURT: IF YOU ASKED DETECTIVE MC CARTY TO  
13 COMPLETE THAT SENTENCE, IT WILL GO TO THE JURY. IF YOU DID  
14 NOT, IT WILL NOT GO TO THE JURY UNDER ALL RULES OF LAW, SO THE  
15 REPORTER CAN GO TO THE RECORD AND SEE WHETHER OR NOT YOU ASKED  
16 HIM THE QUESTION.

17 MR. LAZOR, I DON'T WANT YOU INTERFERING. YOU LET YOUR  
18 COUNSEL HANDLE IT. IF YOU WANT TO CONFER WITH HIM, GO OUTSIDE  
19 AND CONFER.

21 RET

ADDED COMMENTARY

NG

22 PROC

SCHROEDER LIES ABOUT "I DON'T REMEMBER,"  
WHEN HE KNEW ABOUT THE WHOLE SCHEME SINCE  
PRELIMINARY HEARING AND FREQUENTLY DISCUS-  
SED WITH LAZOR THAT HE'D NOT LET IT HAPPEN  
AGAIN AND WOULD EXPOSE IT

EL

24 AND

TO

26 LUNC

ADDED COMMENTARY

27 MR. SCHROEDER LIES AGAIN, BACKING  
28 OUT FURTHER

SEE NEXT  
PAGE FOR  
COMMENTARY

PERTAINING TO BOTTOM ARROW ON  
PREVIOUS PAGE (RT 1721):

ADDED COMMENTARY

FINALLY, LAZOR HAD ENOUGH AND INTERVENED,  
THOUGH THREATENED WITH BEING JAILED FOR  
EVER TRYING TO TALK TO THE JUDGE. THE RE-  
SULT SPEAKS FOR ITSELF. HARSHER REPRI-  
MANDS FROM THE JUDGE THAT OCCURRED HERE  
BETWEEN LINES 16-17, WERE LEFT OUT OF  
THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPTION

PERTAINING TO ALL ARROWS  
ON NEXT PAGE (RT 1723)...

ADDED COMMENTARY

DESPITE THE FRAUD, AND EARLIER "STIPULA-  
TIONS" TO THE NON-FALSIFIED WRITINGS,  
SCHROEDER NOW MAKES NEW "STIPULATIONS"  
TO SUBSTITUTE THE FALSIFIED WRITINGS IN  
PLACE OF THE GENUINE ONES

AND...

ADDED COMMENTARY

THE JURY HAD NO IDEA OF ANY OF THESE "IN  
CHAMBERS" EVENTS. THEY WERE TOLD BY THE  
PROSECUTOR AND BY SCHROEDER, REPEATEDLY,  
THAT THEY RECEIVED GENUINE WRITINGS, UN-  
ALTERED, WRITTEN BY MR. LAZOR

Judge, prosecutor, Schroeder; unknown to jury:

1723

1  
2 COUNSEL HAVE INDICATED TO THE COURT THAT THERE ARE  
3 CERTAIN STIPULATIONS TO BE PUT ON THE RECORD.

4 MR. HAMES: YOUR HONOR, THE STIPULATIONS WILL BE AS  
5 FOLLOWS: AS IT RELATES TO PEOPLE'S 25-A INTO EVIDENCE, WHICH  
6 HAS BEEN DESCRIBED AS A NINE-PAGE ASSESSMENT FOR THE YEAR 1982  
7 WRITTEN BY THE DEFENDANT IN HIS HANDWRITING, BOTH COUNSEL AND  
8 I HAVE SANITIZED THAT DOCUMENT AND IT'S NOW BECOME A NEW 25-A  
9 AS A RESULT OF THE SANITATION. BOTH COUNSEL AND I HAVE  
10 REVIEWED THE SANITIZED VERSION AND ARE WILLING TO STIPULATE  
11 THAT INTO EVIDENCE IN LIEU OF THE FORMER 25-A, THE NINE-PAGE  
12 ASSESSMENT.

13 MR. SCHROEDER: SO STIPULATE.

14 THE COURT: THE RECORD WILL SHOW THE STIPULATION.  
15 THE SANITIZED DOCUMENT WILL BE GIVEN TO THE JURY.

16 MR. HAMES: AND AS IT RELATES TO PEOPLE'S 33-C, THE  
17 DAILY-AID, THE APPROPRIATE ENTRIES HAVE BEEN REMOVED FROM THE  
18 DAILY-AID AND HAVE BEEN TYPEWRITTEN BY YOUR CLERK ON A SINGLE  
19 PIECE OF PAPER BEGINNING WITH JANUARY 1 OF '83 TO JANUARY 10  
20 OF '83. BOTH COUNSEL AND I HAVE REVIEWED THOSE ENTRIES AND  
21 FEEL THAT IT'S PROPERLY SANITIZED AND ARE WILLING TO STIPULATE  
22 THAT INTO EVIDENCE IN LIEU OF THE DAILY-AID, ITSELF, MARKED  
23 33-C.

24 MR. SCHROEDER: SO STIPULATE.

25 THE COURT: THE RECORD WILL SHOW THE STIPULATION.  
26 THE COURT OFFICER CAN BE INSTRUCTED TO GIVE THE APPROPRIATE  
27 SUBSTITUTED DOCUMENTS TO THE JURY.  
28

SEE PREVIOUS  
PAGE FOR  
COMMENTARY

PP-16



**CAPSULATED VIEW OF THE ALTERED WRITINGS SCHEME,  
BASED ON ACTUAL TRANSCRIPT QUOTES -- VERBATIM**

Court Transcript pages K18 -09, in private meeting in judge's office, unknown to PF or jury:

**MR. HAMES:** IN... SEVERAL PAGES... SOME DELETIONS ARE GOING TO HAVE TO BE MADE... DEPENDING ON WHAT THE DEFENDANT SAYS... AT NO TIME UNTIL THE VERY END OF THE CASE, UNTIL WE CAN DEFINE HOW THE DELETIONS, IF ANY, ARE GOING TO BE MADE WOULD THOSE BE SHOWN TO THE JURY... **MR. SCHROEDER:** I WOULD AGREE. YES, YOUR HONOR, I WOULD JOIN IN THAT. **THE COURT:** I THINK THAT IS A GOOD SOLUTION TO THE PROBLEM... MAYBE THAT IS THE WAY THEN TO GET THE INFORMATION TO THE JURY.

What's going on here, is forgery: *key words* in the midst of sentences are about to be whited out from PF's writings to totally change their meanings, making the jury believe that PF planned to murder Garnier, when Allred instead came along. PF and Garnier had made appointments to discuss the housing "contract", which conversations were to be tape recorded on a dictator machine. D.A. Hames knew this, but to be able to have charged PF with murder, he had to falsify the evidence, first by covering up key words with his fingers while Detective McCarty knowingly aided by reading only the "portions" of the written sentences to the jury:

Transcript pages 791, 793, before the jury in open court.

**MR. HAMES:** WOULD YOU INDICATE WHAT THAT ENTRY READS? **DETECTIVE McCARTY:** "DICTATOR GARNIER". **MR. HAMES:** AND WHAT DOES THE PORTION READ THAT I AM INDICATING WITH MY FINGER? **DET. McCARTY:** "GARNIER CONTRACT". **MR. HAMES:** AND WHAT DOES THAT PORTION READ TO WHICH I AM POINTING? **DET. McCARTY:** "GET DICTATOR".

D.A. Hames pieced these altered sentences into an argument to the jury that this -- among other fabrications -- proved that PF had put a "murder contract" out on Garnier, who Lazor allegedly felt was a tyrannical "DICTATOR", who therefore Lazor would "GET" (i.e., kill).

In reality, however, PF's dictator device had malfunctioned prior to the meeting with Garnier on January 10, so PF wrote this reminder note to purchase another one from General Electric Service Center (i.e., "GET DICTATOR G E SERVICE CENTER"). Hames knew this, having commented as such, outside of trial, after confiscating PF's dictator device.

Here is a copy of the real, unaltered business appointment book page, before changes were made in the courtroom:

These original handwritings say:

Mr. Hames completed his progressive trickery by summarizing the "proof" of murder in the final words of resting his case:

Transcript pages 1696 - 97, to the jury:

**MR. HAMES:** LADIES AND GENTLEMEN YOU ARE GOING TO BE SEEING THE DEFENDANT'S DAILY AID, HIS DIARY. ENTRIES DESCRIBE MR. GARNIER AS A "DICTATOR"; "DICTATOR GARNIER"; "DICTATOR GARNIER". ON JANUARY 10TH, MONDAY, JANUARY 10TH, THERE IS AN ENTRY THAT SAYS "GET DICTATOR"; "GET DICTATOR" JANUARY 10TH, AND WHAT HAPPENED ON JANUARY 10TH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IS MR. GARNIER'S NEPHEW, JOHN ALLRED, WAS THE ONE WHO GOT IT. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

D.A. Hames also had the support of *his personal friend*, the "defense" attorney, who never let PF refute this matter. Only because PF nearly created a scene over this before the jury could be hussled out of the courtroom, did Mr. Schroeder agree to confront the judge; and here's how he handled it:

Transcript pages 1720 - 21, to the judge in private chambers with PF present:

**MR. SCHROEDER:** THERE WAS A QUESTION REGARDING THE COMPLETION OF THAT, WHAT I CONSIDER TO BE A THOUGHT, WHICH IS "G.E. SERVICE CENTER". I AM REQUESTING THAT WHEN SANITIZING IT, THAT THE ENTIRE PORTION GO IN. **THE COURT:** ARE YOU ASKING ME TO ADMIT SOME EVIDENCE TO THE JURY THAT WAS NOT PREVIOUSLY ADMITTED TO THE JURY? **MR. SCHROEDER:** I AM NOT SAYING IT WASN'T ADMITTED, I AM SAYING I DON'T SPECIFICALLY REMEMBER. **THE COURT:** IF YOU ASKED DETECTIVE McCARTY, TO COMPLETE THAT SENTENCE, IT WILL GO TO THE JURY, IF YOU DID NOT, IT WILL NOT GO TO THE JURY UNDER ALL RULES OF LAW. MR. LAZOR, I DON'T WANT YOU INTERFERING, YOU LET YOUR COUNSEL HANDLE IT.

This is why PF is in prison today, because he was not allowed to speak the truth in his own defense (notice also that whatever PF said was deleted from the official record). PF then watched Mr. Schroeder assist Mr. Hames and the court clerk white out the key words and add others, then tell the jury these were PF's original writings.

Most people believe that an unfairly convicted citizen has an opportunity to "appeal"; but that can *ONLY* be done if the defense attorney "vigorously objects" at trial. By non-objection (much worse "stipulation"), it could never be appealed. Knowing that, after having been paid many thousands of dollars from Lazor's family to defend him, here's what Mr. Schroeder did to "handle it":

Transcript page 1723, to the judge in private chambers:

**MR. HAMES:** AS IT RELATES TO PEOPLE'S 25 A... WRITTEN BY THE DEFENDANT, IN HIS HANDWRITING, BOTH COUNSEL AND I HAVE SANITIZED THAT DOCUMENT... AND AS IT RELATES TO PEOPLE'S 33 C, THE DAILY AID, THE APPROPRIATE ENTRIES HAVE BEEN REMOVED FROM THE DAILY AID AND... BOTH COUNSEL AND I... ARE WILLING TO STIPULATE THAT INTO EVIDENCE IN LIEU OF THE DAILY AID, ITSELF, MARKED 33 C. **MR. SCHROEDER:** SO STIPULATE. **THE COURT:** THE RECORD WILL SHOW THE STIPULATION. THE COURT OFFICER CAN BE INSTRUCTED TO GIVE THE APPROPRIATE SUBSTITUTED DOCUMENTS TO THE JURY.

ADDED COMMENTARY

TRUE COPY OF THE DAILY AIDE BUSINESS SCHEDULE BOOK PAGE, ON THE DAY OF THE SHOOTING, (BEFORE THE PROSECUTION ALTERED THE WRITINGS). NOTICE THE FULL PHRASE, "GET DICTATOR GE SERVICENTER" AND THREE RELATED REFERENCES TO RECORDING TAPES AND ITEMS FOR THE "DICTATOR" DEVICE.

THE JURY NEVER KNEW ABOUT ANY OF THESE STATEMENTS

JANUARY

10

MONDAY

10 - 355

DECEMBER						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
						1
				5	6	7
				12	13	14
				19	20	21
				26	27	28
				29		

8:00

8:30 PAUSES (12-27) & LAW BOOKS

9:00 CAROLYN @ CJ 2796-2072 + SJ WIFE 279-7900 + CAR BATTERY CHARGE + FOTOMAT

9:30 LEAVE = TAKE CAMERA & TAPE RECORDER/DICTATOR TAPES

10:00 BURT LANCASTER = VOICE + CBS = GO SEE MUSIC SETUP

10:30 LOS ALAMOS - TAKE BOTTLE + GET EYE GLASSES + EYE + MITCHELL BUNT + 9V BATTERY + RECORDING CORD

11:00 + @ JUD. - APPOINTMENT FOR GUY

11:30

12:00

12:30

1:00

1:30

2:00

2:30 GET DICTATOR GE SERVICENTER

3:00 FILE HOFFER MENTION TO VACATE + AUDI INSURANCE ESTIMATE & DEMAND ON PAU LINES

3:30 + COPY & MAIL

4:00

4:30

5:00

5:30 POTPOURRI/DS

6:00

6:30

7:00 GARNER CONTRACT + HSP MOTION

7:30

1-31-82 SALES TAX DUE OR PENALTY

EMPTY DICTATOR TAPE TONITE

ADDED COMMENTARY

RELEVANT ENTRIES, AS SHOWN HERE (BEFORE ALTERED), STATE:

9:30 LEAVE=TAKE CAMERA & TAPE RECORDER/DICTATOR TAPES

10:30 [END OF LINE]: 9v BATTERY & RECORDING CORD

2:30 GET DICTATOR GE SERVICENTER

[FINAL ENTRY]: EMPTY DICTATOR TAPE TONITE

NOTES: ✓ through text means it was accomplished that morning. Some words are abbreviated, in Mr. Lazor's shorthand, such as "v" for volt, "BATRY" for battery, "GE" for General Electric (Company), "SERVICENTER" for Service Center