

1 [REDACTED] YOU SAID THE DESCRIPTION THAT YOU
2 RECEIVED AND THE MANNER IN WHICH THIS INCIDENT HAD OCCURRED
3 LED YOU TO BELIEVE THAT YOU SHOULD EXPECT MR. LAZOR'S
4 FINGERPRINTS TO BE ON THE TELEPHONE; IS THAT RIGHT?

5 A THAT'S CORRECT.

6 Q SO WHEN YOU WERE CHECKING THE TELEPHONE, THE FINGERPRINTS
7 YOU WERE LOOKING FOR WERE MR. LAZOR'S, RIGHT?

8 A DURING THE BLOODY PRINTS PRIMARILY, YES.

9 Q DID YOU LOOK FOR MR. ALLRED'S FINGERPRINTS ON THE
10 TELEPHONE?

11 A NO, I DID NOT.

12 [REDACTED]
13 Q RIGHT. BUT WH
14 GIVEN A CERTAIN THEO

15 A THAT'S CORRECT.

16 Q AND BASED UPON THAT THEORY, YOU WERE PROCEEDING IN YOUR
17 ANALYSIS OF THE EVIDENCE?

18 A BASED UPON BACKGROUND INFORMATION, YES.

19 Q SO NOBODY SUGGESTED TO YOU THAT MR. ALLRED'S FINGERPRINTS
20 MIGHT HAVE BEEN ON THE TELEPHONE, DID THEY?

21 A NOT OUTRIGHT, BUT BASED UPON THE BLOOD DEPOSITS AND
22 THINGS OF THAT SORT, THE MANNER IN WHICH THE REPORT DESCRIBES
23 THE INCIDENT IN TERMS OF HIS BLEEDING EXCESSIVELY, I WOULD
24 EXPECT THAT BLOOD TO BE FROM HIM.

25 Q I AM TALKING ABOUT HIS FINGERPRINTS, NOT HIS BLOOD.

26 A OKAY. NO, I DID NOT --

27 [REDACTED] ALLRED WOULD HAVE ACCESS TO
28 THAT PHONE SINCE THEY AT A PARTICULAR POINT AND TIME

ADDED COMMENTARY

ALTHOUGH HE DOESN'T COME RIGHT OUT AND SAY IT CLEARLY, THE PROSECUTOR'S CRIMINALIST REVEALS MUCH HERE ABOUT HOW THE CASE WAS INVESTIGATED ONLY TO PROSECUTE LAZOR, BY IGNORING AND LEAVING OUT ALL EVIDENCE THAT SHOWED IT WAS A GENUINE SELF-DEFENSE, NON-CRIMINAL ACT BY MR. LAZOR

EXHIBIT

AAA

tabbler